

MOBILE BANKING IN INDIA: BARRIERS IN ADOPTION AND SERVICE PREFERENCES

Biswajita Das¹ And Rashmi Ranjan Panigrahi²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Basic Sciences, Aryan Institute of Engineering and Technology, Bhubaneswar

²Assistant Professor, Department of Basic Sciences, Raajdhani Engineering College, Bhubaneswar

Abstract :

Mobile banking is growing yet there are numbers of issues and threats in mobile banking system and the major problem of mobile banking is its non-adoption by the customers. This research focuses on the barriers in adoption of mobile banking. It further focuses on preferred services by the mobile banking customers and influence of demographic variable on mobile banking service adoption. A cross section descriptive design was adopted and data collected was subject to Product moment correlation, Oneway Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Frequency analysis. Findings suggest that customers' security concern is the major barrier in adopting mobile banking services. As far as preferred services are concern balance check tops, as customers prefer information based services rather than financial services provided by the bank.

Keywords : *Mobile banking, Barriers, Preferred services*

1. Introduction :

Recent innovations in telecommunications have enabled the launch of new access methods for banking services; one of these is mobile banking; whereby a customer interacts with a bank via mobile phone (Barnes & Corbitt, 2003). In India 617 million mobile subscribers far exceed fixed line subscribers because of better mobile infrastructure (TRAI, 2010). The banks in India are racing to use this latest technology to reduce their operational costs and increase customer base (Peterson, 2009). Mobile Banking refers to provision and availment of banking and financial services with the help of mobile telecommunication devices. The scope of offered services may include facilities to conduct bank transactions, to administer accounts and to access customized information (Tiwari & Buse, 2007). After the launch of mobile banking in India, mobile banking transactions have seen some growth. What attracts customers to mobile banking is the round the clock availability and ease of transactions. But mobile banking still has a long way to go as majority of customers prefer banking in the traditional ways (Ashta, 2010; Wang, Wang, Lin & Tang, 2003). Key question is why customers are not adopting mobile banking. Various factors may influence customers' adoption. It is argued that adoption will not take place unless customers perceive the service to be useful (Ali & Bharadwaj, 2010). Understanding the symptoms of the problem of why there is a low rate of mobile banking usage along with understanding of preferred mobile banking services, could help banks to come up with a right solution to improve their mobile banking service as well as to increase the rate of Mobile banking usage.

2. Literature Review :

Clark (2008) suggested that as a Channel the mobile phone can augment the number of channels available to consumers, thereby giving consumers more low-cost self-service options by which to access funds, banking information and make payments. Mobile as a channel delivers convenience, immediacy and choice to consumers. But there are a large number of different mobile phone devices and it is a big

challenge for banks to offer Mobile banking solution on any type of device. Some of these devices support Java2 Micro Edition (J2ME) and others support Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) browser or only SMS. Further Vyas (2009) stated that Indian banks will target non-online banking users who may lack regular access to desktop Internet but are very likely to own a mobile device, thus reporting great potential of Mobile banking in India. This report of Vital Analytics suggested huge potential of Mobile banking in India, as it found that urban Indian customers' checking account balance is the most frequently cited reason for using Mobile banking. 40 million Urban Indians used their mobile phones to check their bank account balances followed by viewing last three transactions. Rao & Prathima (2003) finds that there is huge potential of Mobile banking in India but Indian banks offering m-banking services still have a long way to go.

Sathye (1999) study focused on the capital cities of Australia where use of internet and population was likely to be high and suggested that security concerns and lack of awareness about Internet banking and its benefits stand out as being the obstacles to the adoption of Internet banking in Australia. Author suggested some of the ways to address these impediments. Further, he suggested that delivery of financial services over the Internet should be a part of overall customer service and distribution strategy. These measures could help in rapid migration of customers to Internet banking, resulting in considerable savings in operating costs for banks.

Rotchanakitumnuai & Speece (2003); Akinci, Aksoy & Atilgan (2004) stated that researchers investigated why corporate customers do not accept electronic form of banking, which can assist banks to implement this self-service technology more efficiently. Further they suggested that security of the e-channel is a major factor inhibiting wider adoption. Those already using electronic form of banking seem to have more confidence that the system is reliable, whereas non-users are much more service conscious, and do not trust financial transactions made via e-channels. Nonelectronic form of banking user tend to have more negative management attitudes toward adoption and are more likely to claim lack of resources. Legal support is also a major barrier to electronic form of banking adoption for corporate customers.

Kolodinsky, Hogarth & Hilgert (2004) stated that millions of Americans are currently using a variety of e-banking technologies including Mobile banking. However, millions of others have not or will not. They explored factors that affect the adoption or intention to adopt three e-banking technologies and changes in these factors over time and suggested that relative advantage, complexity/simplicity, compatibility, observability, risk tolerance, and product involvement are associated with adoption. Income, assets, education, gender and marital status, and age also affect adoption. Adoption changed over time, but the impacts of other factors on adoption have not changed.

Gan, Clemes, Limsogunchai & Weng (2006) findings stated that in New Zealand the output from the logistic regression indicates that the service quality, perceived risk factors, user input factors, employment, and education were the dominant variables that influence consumers' choice of electronic banking and non-electronic banking channels.

Comminos et al. (2008) suggested that consumers will only transact electronically (online/mobile banking) if there is convenience and security. Further Sharma and Singh (2009) found that Indian mobile banking users are specially concern with security issues like financial frauds, account misuse and user friendliness issue - difficulty in remembering the different codes for different types of transaction, application software installation & updation due to lack of standardization.

3. Objectives :

This study focuses on following research objectives:

1. To study the barriers in using Mobile banking services.
2. To study the perceived utility of various Mobile banking services.
3. To study the influence of demographic variables on Mobile banking usage.

4. Research Methodology :

To measure these objectives a cross sectional descriptive study was designed.

Questionnaire Design :

Based on the research objectives, a structured questionnaire with 40 variables, mainly with a 5-point Likert scale was used, in which 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree.

Data Collection :

All bank customers of Indore were considered as population of research interest. The total sample size was fixed at 100 usable responses for data analysis. For data collection purposive sampling was adopted. To ensure all questions being answered in a proper way, questionnaires were completed and screened one-by-one

Table 1: Sample Distribution

Demographic Variables	Percentage distribution
Gender	80% Males, 20% Females
Age	33% Less than 30, 47% 30-39, 20% Above 39
Education	67% Graduates, 33% Post Graduates
Income	63% 10000 or less monthly in INR, 37% More than 10000 monthly in INR
Profession	46% Managerial Position, 54% Non Managerial Position

Data Cleaning :

Data was screened for missing values and no missing value was found. Further data was analyzed for outliers using SPSS 17. All standardized values (z scores) were between -2.11 to 2.64, suggesting absence of outliers.

Reliability Analysis :

Cronbach alpha was found to be .722 suggesting satisfactory internal consistency among items.

Analytical Tools :

The SPSS 17 was used to analyze the data using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Product moment correlation and Frequency analysis.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

Perception towards Mobile Banking :

Vast majority (77%) of Mobile Banking users agrees that the service is more convenient than traditional banking; transactions can be done faster and allows easier maintenance of transaction

activities. Overall, most users (61%) agree that Mobile Banking is better than traditional banking, however, on average the users were uncertain whether Mobile Banking is more reliable or safer and secure (59% were indifferent). It may be said that current Mobile Banking users are overall satisfied with the service which shows an opportunity to increase the customer base in future and in turn helps in financial inclusion i.e. providing banking facility to the one who is not having access to traditional financial system and through this research it is clear that by creating the awareness about Mobile Banking security dilemma of users that whether it is safe or not will also eradicate.

Barriers in using Mobile Banking :

The first research objective meant to explore barriers in using Mobile banking. Table 2 shows that the Oneway Kolmogorov-Smirnov test summary of mobile banking barriers viz. security concern, network problem, insufficient operating guidance, cost per transaction and handling mobile phones.

Table 2: Barriers in Using Mobile Banking

	Security concern	Network problem	Difficulty in handling mobile phone	Insufficient operating guidance	Cost per transaction
N	100	100	100	100	100
Mean	4.15	3.99	1.19	3.87	2.51
-Kolmogorov Smirnov Z	2.457	3.200	2.156	2.863	2.030
Asymp. Sig.	.000	.000	.001	.000	.001

Z value for 'security concern' (2.45) was found to be significant at .01 with mean value of 4.15 (>3; Agree). It may be said that 'security concern' is a significant barrier in using mobile banking means banks should focus on the security aspect and need to create awareness that it is as secured as traditional banking channel, what is needed by the customer is that to be alert at the time of doing the banking transaction through mobile phone.

Z value for 'network problem' (3.20) was found to be significant at .01 with mean value of 3.99 (>3; Agree). It may be said that 'network problem' is a significant barrier in using mobile banking, for this banks should coordinate with the telecom companies to improve their network which in turn will eliminate this barrier.

Z value for 'difficulty in handling mobile phone' (2.15) was found to be significant at .01 with mean value of 1.19 (<3; Disagree). It may be said that 'difficulty in handling mobile phone' is not a significant barrier in using mobile banking, as now youth consist the major part of population in India and it is being found that youths are technology friendly, so banks can increase their customer base through mobile banking channel by targeting this point i.e. it is easy to do banking through mobile phone.

Z value for 'insufficient operating guidance' (2.86) was found to be significant at .01 with mean value of 3.87 (>3; Agree). It may be said that 'insufficient operating guidance' is a significant barrier in using mobile banking, for this banks need to have help desk for mobile banking in every branch and need to create awareness of guidance through various channels as well like newspaper, internet, television etc.

Z value for 'cost per transaction' (2.03) was found to be significant at .01 with mean value of 2.51 (<3; Disagree). It may be said that 'cost per transaction' is not a significant barrier in using mobile banking because it is very cheap to operate mobile banking for customer as banks charge nothing what is being charged is by the telecom companies that too very nominal i.e. Rs. 3 per SMS.

Perceived utility of Mobile banking services :

The second objective of the research referred to the customers' perceives utility of various Mobile banking services. Respondents were provided with a list of 17 Mobile Banking services and in relation to these services respondents were asked to indicate the degree of perceived utility they attach to particular the service.

Rank	Mobile Banking Service (cumulative %)
1.	Review accounts balances(86%)
2.	Recent transactions / Mini-statements (60%)
3.	Review credit cards balances (55%)
4.	Status on cheque, stop payment on cheque (43%)
5.	Alerts on account activity (37%)
6.	Change of PIN (9%)
7.	Ordering check books (7%)
8.	Bill payment processing (7%)
9.	Access to loan statements (7%)
10.	Domestic fund transfers (6%)
11.	Credit card payment due date (6%)
12.	Mobile recharging (4%)
13.	Branch location (3%)
14.	Managing loan account (1%)
15.	ATM Location (0%)
16.	Withdrawal at banking agent (0%)
17.	Deposit at banking agent (0%)
18.	Mutual funds /equity statements (0%)

The Mobile banking services perceived to have high utility were Review (checking) accounts balances (86%), Recent transactions / Mini-statements (60%), Review credit cards balances (55%), Status on cheque/ stop payment on cheque (43%) and Alerts on account activity (37%). This suggests that overall most of the customers prefer information based services rather than financial services provided by the bank. It is clear that major mobile banking users are interested in having the information of various types like checking balance, review mini statement, review credit card balances rather transaction based services is not preferred by the customer reason may security, network problem or insufficient operating guidance as founded in this research as a major barrier.

Influence of Demographic Variables :

At last the usage of the Mobile banking was tested to see whether it is associated with demographic variables viz. gender, age, education, income and profession using Product moment correlation. It may be said that the Mobile banking usage is not associated with demographic

variables except age and education as their estimated correlation coefficients were .611 and .521 respectively; significant at .05 level.

Table 3: Demographic Variables & Mobile Banking Usage

Demographic variables	Correlation coefficient
Gender	.233
Age	.611*
Education	.521*
Income	.198
Profession	.201

*Significant at .05 level of significance

Suggestions :

The major concern among customers was the safety concern regarding mobile banking services which forms a real obstacle to use the service, followed by network problem and insufficient operating guidance. The research also found that even most of those who frequently use the Mobile Banking services, usually do not conduct much of financial transactions, but find the service very useful for information based transactions mainly checking account status. This means that the Mobile banking services is not solving the purpose it was originally made for, which is to provide customer convenience and reduce customer visits to the banks. Based on the findings, it is strongly believed that ensuring the security of Mobile banking and familiarizing customers with how to use the service will definitely increase the rate of using Mobile banking services.

Conclusion :

In line with the global industries' move in acquiring the latest advanced technology to stay ahead of competitors, banks throughout the world and India have notably been moving in the same direction. Evidently, Mobile banking is considered a new era in banking, in which banks are spending considerable amount of money to have it available to their customers and to cut their operations costs. Unfortunately, evidences have shown that a large number of customers do not use Mobile banking for various reasons, despite its benefits.

References :

1. Akinci, S., Aksoy, S., & Atilgan, E. (2004). *Adoption of internet banking among sophisticated consumer segments in an advanced developing country. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 22 (3), 212-232.*
2. Ali, Sadia Samar, & Bharadwaj, R.K. (2010). *Factor analysis approach of decision making in Indian e-banking: a value adding consumer's perspective. Retrieved from <http://inderscience.metapress.com/app/home/contribution.asp?referrer=parent&backto=issue,2,6;journal,3,18;linkingpublicationresults,1:120361>*
3. Ashta, Arvind (2010). *Evolution of Mobile banking Regulations. Retrived from <http://www.arraydev.com/commerce/JIBC/0306-04.htm>.*
4. Barnes, S.J., & Corbitt, B. (2003). *Mobile banking: concept and potential. International Journal of Mobile Communications, 1 (3), 273-288.*

5. Clark, Adam (2008). *Mobile banking & Switching*. Retrieved from www.mcom.co.nz/assets/sm/163/.../CriticalSwitchingEvents-WhitePaper.pdf
6. Comminos, A., Esselaar, S., Ndiwalana, A., & Stork, C. (2008). *Towards evidence-based ICT policy and regulation m-banking the unbanked*. Retrieved from http://externo.casafrika.es/aeo/pdf/english/overview_part_2_09_aeo_09.pdf
7. Gan, C., Clemes, M., Limsounchai, V., & Weng, A. (2006). *A logic analysis of electronic banking in New Zealand*. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 24 (6), 360-383.
8. Kolodinsky, J. M., Hogarth, J. M., & Hilgert, M. A. (2004). *The adoption of electronic banking technologies by US consumers*. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 22 (4), 238-259.
9. Peterson, Marcus. (2009). *A Brief History of Internet Banking*, *EzineArticles*. Retrived from <http://ezinearticles.com>
10. Rao, G. R., & Prathima, K. (2003). *Online banking in India*. *Mondaq Business Briefing*, 11 April 2003.
11. Rotchanakitumnuai, S., & Speece, M. (2003). *Barriers to internet banking adoption: a qualitative study among corporate consumers in Thailand*. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 21 (6/7), 312-323.
12. Sathye, M. (1999). *Adoption of internet banking by Australian consumers: an empirical investigation*. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 17 (7), 324-334.
13. Sharma, Prerna, & Singh, Preeti (2009). *Users' perception about mobile banking- with special reference to Indore & around*. *Review of Business & Technology Research*, Vol. 2, 1, 1-4
Telecom Report (May 2010). TRAI, India.
14. Tiwari, Rajnish, & Stephan, Buse (2007). *The Mobile Commerce Prospects: A Strategic Analysis of Opportunities in the Banking Sector*. Retrieved from http://www.global-innovation.net/publications/PDF/HamburgUP_Tiwari_Commerce.pdf
15. Vyas, Charul (2009). *Mobile banking in India - Perception and Statistics*. Vital Analytics. Retrieved from <http://www.telecomindiaonline.com/telecom-india-daily-telecom-station-mobile-banking-in-india-perception-and-statistics.html>
16. Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Lin, H., & Tang, T. (2003). *Determinants of user acceptance of internet banking: an empirical study*. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 14 (5), 501-519.